The Social Issues Behind the Major Health Problems in the United States

Presented at "The Church's Challenge in Health"

The Carter Center, Emory University Atlanta, Georgia

October 25, 1989

Speech by

C. Everett Koop, M.D.

MY ASSIGNMENT AT THIS OPENING PORTION OF THE PROGRAM, IS TO SHARE WITH DR. MASON THE OBLIGATION OF ENUMERATING FOR YOU THE MAJOR HEALTH ISSUES IN THE UNITED STATES./WHILE HE HAS CONCENTRATED ON THOSE ISSUES HAVING TO DO WITH THE SCIENCE OF HEALTH, I HAVE BEEN ASKED TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT THE SOCIAL ISSUES.

INITIALLY, I HAD BEEN ASSIGNED THE ROLE OF PRESIDER AT THIS SESSION ONLY TO BE ASKED TO EXPAND MY REMARKS TO FILL IN THE TIME VACATED BY THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DR. LOUIS SULLIVAN.

SO INSTEAD OF LEARNING, WITH YOU, HOW DR. SULLIVAN SAW THE SOCIAL ISSUES BEHIND THE HEALTH PROBLEMS, I HAD TO DECIDE WHAT THEY WERE AND TRY TO PASS THEM ON TO YOU.

IN THE CIRCLES IN WHICH I TRAVEL,
CONVERSATIONS ON THIS SUBJECT ARE CONSTANT. IT
ISN'T SO MUCH THAT THINGS ARE CHANGING AS IT IS
THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED, BUT MANY PEOPLE ARE
TRYING TO PLAN THE FUTURE AS THOUGH IT WERE
INDEED YESTERYEAR.

IN THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE, THE
DOCTOR - PATIENT RELATIONSHIP HAS DETERIORATED
OVER THE RECENT TWO DECADES TO THE POINT WHERE
SOME WONDER IF IT EVER CAN BE REPAIRED. IT IS MY
OWN OPINION THAT IT ALL BEGAN WHEN
PROFESSIONALS IN THE DELIVERY OF
MEDICAL CARE—DOCTORS, NURSES, OTHER TYPES OF
THERAPISTS AND SO ON-BEGAN TO ACCEPT THE
NOMENCLATURE OF PROVIDERS. THE PATIENTS OF
THESE PROFESSIONALS SLIPPED SEVERAL COGS WHEN
THEY PERMITTED THEMSELVES TO BE CALLED
CONSUMERS.

PEOPLE AREN'T HAPPY ABOUT BEING ILL, OR NEEDING TO GO TO A PHYSICIAN. HAVING TO PAY A HIGH PRICE FOR IT MAKES IT EVEN MORE UNPLEASANT. BUT WE NEED TO SUBORDINATE THE ECONOMIC ASPECT OF THE RELATIONSHIP TO THE CLIMATE OF TRUST BETWEEN THE DOCTOR AND THE PATIENT. IF THE PATIENT THINKS OF HIMSELF PRIMARILY AS A CONSUMER, GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS MONEY, HE AUTOMATICALLY PUTS THE DOCTOR IN THE ROLE OF THE SELLER, GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS SERVICES.

1 THIML OF CONSUMERS SELECTING OAT

BRAN CERFAC IN THE SUPERMARKET AND

OF PROVIDERS PUMPING GAS:

I AM SUFFICIENTLY CONCERNED ABOUT THIS
DETERIORATION IN RELATIONSHIPS TO HAVE ELECTED
TO TALK TO BOTH SIDES--SUGGESTING HOW THE
PROFESSIONALS CAN RISE A LITTLE HIGHER ON THE
SCALE OF EXPECTATION; WHILE TALKING TO THE
PUBLIC AND SUGGESTING THAT THEIR EXPECTATIONS
MIGHT BE TOO HIGH.

THE MOBILE SOCIETY THAT AMERICANS HAVE BECOME, AND THE MANNER IN WHICH URBAN FOLKS USE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOMS AS THEIR PRIMARY PHYSICIAN, IS NOT CONDUCIVE TO THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DOCTOR AND PATIENT OF A GENERATION AGO.

ALTHOUGH PUBLIC HEALTH IS NOT PRIVATE MEDICINE, THE TWO ARE INEXTRICABLY INTERTWINED. NEVER IN MY LIFETIME HAVE I HEARD THE DISSATISFACTION ABOUT HEALTH CARE COMING FROM SO MANY QUARTERS.

ONLY

IT IS NOT DOCTORS AND PATIENTS, IT IS NOT NURSES AND THERAPISTS, SOCIAL WOCKERS, AND PHARMACISTS.

IT IS BIG BUSINESS AND SMALL BUSINESS, IT IS CONGRESS, IT IS THE ELDERLY AND THE YOUNG.

IN SHORT, OUR ASPIRATIONS FAR OUTDISTANCE
THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO PAY FOR THEM. OR TO
PUT IT ANOTHER WAY, THERE IS A HUGE GAP
BETWEEN THE DREAM OF IDEAL HEALTH CARE AND
THE REALITY OF ITS AVAILABILITY.

AGAINST THIS BACKDROP, LET ME ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES EN HEALTH CARE ITSELF.

THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN OUR ASPIRATIONS AND OUR RESOURCES HAS COME AT THE WORST POSSIBLE TIME, A TIME WHEN DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS ARE RUNNING AGAINST US.

TODAY, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR EACH PERSON WHO IS OVER THE AGE OF 65, THERE ARE 5 YOUNGER, TAX-PAYING WAGE-EARNERS TO PAY FOR THAT ONE PERSON'S MEDICARE COVERAGE.

IN ANOTHER 20 YEARS, HOWEVER, FOR EACH PERSON OVER THE AGE OF 65, THERE WILL BE ONLY 3 YOUNGER, TAX-PAYING WAGE-EARNERS CONTRIBUTING TO MEDICARE. IN A CLIMATE OF SCARCITY, AMERICANS WILL HAVE TO WORK OUT AN EQUITABLE SHARING OF NEEDED MEDICAL RESOURCES BETWEEN ONE POPULATION GROUP THAT IS GROWING ... THAT IS, THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 65 ... AND THE POPULATION GROUP THAT IS COMPARATIVELY SHRINKING ... THAT IS, CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 18.

OVER THE PAST 8 YEARS I'VE DEALT WITH ADVOCATES FOR CHILDREN AND I'VE DEALT WITH ADVOCATES FOR THE ELDERLY. THEY ARE BOTH VERY DEDICATED AND VERY PERSUASIVE GROUPS. AND BOTH WILL BE QUITE RIGHTLY COMPETING FOR A LARGER PIECE OF A SMALLER PIE.

THIS HAS CHILLING ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS, AND WE MUST GUARD AGAINST LETTING OUR ETHICS BE DETERMINED BY OUR ECONOMICS, AND NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

SOME CRITICS WILL SAY THAT THE CHIEF CAUSE FOR THE CRUNCH IS THE BUDGET DEFICIT. ONCE WE GET RID OF THE DEFICIT, SAY THESE CRITICS, WE WILL ALSO GET RID OF THAT GAP BETWEEN ASPIRATIONS AND RESOURCES ... BETWEEN DREAMS AND REALITY.

MAYBE ... BUT I DON'T THINK SO.

WELL BEFORE WE HAD A BUDGET PROBLEM, WE ALREADY HAD A HEALTH CARE ECONOMY THAT CONSISTENTLY RAN AT AN ANNUAL INFLATION RATE THAT WAS 2 TO 3 TIMES THE INFLATION RATE FOR THE REST OF THE AMERICAN ECONOMY.

BUT WE DIDN'T SEE IT ... OR, IF WE <u>DID</u> SEE IT, WE PREFERRED NOT TO WORRY ABOUT IT.

TODAY, WE STILL HAVE AN INFLATED HEALTH CARE ECONOMY ... BUT WE ALSO HAVE INFLATED HEALTH CARE ASPIRATIONS. AND WE SIMPLY CAN'T AFFORD ANY INFLATION AT ALL.

WHEN I OR OTHER PEOPLE TALK LIKE THIS, OUR CRITICS COME BACK AT US AND SAY THAT THINGS REALLY AREN'T THAT BAD ... THAT ALL WE NEED TO DO IS PUT A REIMBURSEMENT CAP ON THIS ... OR CHANGE THE ELIGIBILITY REGULATIONS FOR THAT ... OR CUT BACK A LITTLE HERE ... OR PRUNE BACK A LITTLE THERE.

DURING 8 YEARS AS YOUR SURGEON GENERAL, I
LISTENED TO THESE DEBATES AND I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT
THE TRUE HUMAN COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT KIND OF
A PATCHWORK APPROACH.

AND TODAY I'M MORE CONVINCED THAN EVER THAT OUR WHOLE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM NEEDS TO BE STUDIED WITH AN EYE TO MAKING A NUMBER OF VERY MAJOR CORRECTIONS. NOW, I CAN ALREADY HEAR THE CRITICS SAYING, "WAIT A MINUTE, DR. KOOP. THE SYSTEM AIN'T BROKE, SO DON'T FIX IT."

TO WHICH I WOULD REPLY, "YOU'RE WRONG. THE SYSTEM IS BROKEN ... AND IT MUST BE FIXED." BAND-AIDS WON'T DO.

HOSPITAL COSTS ARE STILL CLIMBING ... AND NO ONE CAN PROVE TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE THAT THE <u>QUALITY</u> OF HOSPITAL-BASED CARE IS UNIFORMLY GOING UP AS WELL. ON THE CONTRARY, OUR PEOPLE COMPLAIN THAT THEY ARE PAYING MORE AND MORE FOR MEDICAL CARE, AND ARE GETTING LESS AND LESS.

WORSE STILL, AS THE COST OF HOSPITAL-BASED
CARE INCREASES, THE HOSPITALS THEMSELVES ARE
TRYING TO NARROW THEIR PATIENT POOL ... FOR EXAMPLE,
ELIMINATING THE NEED TO PROVIDE IN-PATIENT MEDICAL
CARE FOR POOR AND DISADVANTAGED AMERICANS. I SAY
THERE'S SOMETHING TERRIBLY WRONG WITH A SYSTEM OF
HEALTH CARE THAT SPENDS MORE AND MORE MONEY TO
SERVE FEWER AND FEWER PEOPLE.

I COULD PAINT FOR YOU MUCH THE SAME
SCENARIO IN RESPECT TO PHYSICIAN SERVICES AND
FEES. I'M SURE YOU CAN FILL IN TITE BLANKS

THE ECONOMICS OF HEALTH CARE ARE PECULIAR.
WE HAVE A LAISSEZ-FAIRE ECONOMY IN REFERENCE
TO HEALTH CARE.

BUT IT'S NOT FREELY COMPETITIVE AND, HENCE, IT HAS VIRTUALLY NO MODERATING CONTROLS WORKING ON BEHALF OF THE CONSUMER, OR THE PATIENT IN MOST OTHER AREAS OF OUR ECONOMY, THE MARKETPLACE DOES EXERCISE SOME CONTROL OVER ARBITRARY RISES IN CHARGES TO THE CONSUMER THERE REALLY IS COMPETITION.

HERE AND THERE IT MIGHT BE RATHER THIN ... BUT IT DOES EXIST AND IT DOES PROVIDE SOME ASSURANCE THAT INEFFECTIVE, UNCOMPETITIVE, HIGH-COST, LOW-QUALITY ENTERPRISES WILL FAIL BUT IN HEALTH CARE, RIGHT ACROSS THE BOARD, PRICES HAVE GONE UP IRRESPECTIVE OF THE QUALITY OF CARE BEING DELIVERED OR OF ANY OTHER MARKETPLACE CONTROL.

TRY AS THEY MIGHT, I DON'T SEE THE MEDICAL PROFESSION ACHIEVING MUCH SUCCESS IN SELF-REGULATION. GRANTED, IT'S NO SIMPLE TASK.

PHYSICIANS CAN HELP PUT THE BRAKES ON SOME GENERAL EXPENDITURES, BUT THERE ARE <u>VERY FEW</u>
PHYSICIANS WHO CAN HONESTLY AND EFFECTIVELY
CONTROL THE <u>DELIVERY</u> OF SERVICE -- MUCH LESS
CONTROL THE <u>COSTS</u> OF THAT SERVICE -- WHILE CARING FOR A SPECIFIC, INDIVIDUAL PATIENT AT THE BEDSIDE.

WE SEEM TO HAVE, THEREFORE, A SYSTEM OF HEALTH CARE THAT'S DISTINGUISHED BY A VIRTUAL ABSENCE OF SELF-REGULATION ON THE PART OF THE PROVIDERS OF THAT HEALTH CARE -- THAT IS, HOSPITALS AND PHYSICIANS -- AND DISTINGUISHED AS WELL BY THE ABSENCE OF SUCH NATURAL MARKETPLACE CONTROLS AS COMPETITION IN REGARD TO PRICE, QUALITY, OR SERVICE.WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF SUCH A SYSTEM ANYWAY?

ONE VERY SERIOUS EFFECT HAS BEEN THE EMERGENCE OF A THREE-TIER FRAMEWORK OF HEALTH CARE.

WE'VE ALWAYS SAID WE NEVER WANTED EVEN A TWO-TIER SYSTEM.

I'M NOT HERE TO SUGGEST REMEDIES, BUT LET ME JUST SAY IN PASSING THAT THERE ARE ONLY TWO WAYS YOU CAN GO FROM HERE. BOTH REQUIRE MAJOR CHANGES; AND A STOP TO BAND-AID THERAPY.

EITHER WE MAINTAIN THE DIVERSITY WE HAVE IN THE AMERICAN SYSTEM, KEEP IT IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR, DEMAND THE REWARDING OF EFFICIENCY AND QUALITY WITH MORE PATIENTS RATHER THAN MORE MONEY; OR WE GO TO A GOVERNMENT COST-CONTROLLED SYSTEM AND LOSE FOREVER WHAT I THINK IS THE POTENTIAL FOR THE BEST SYSTEM POSSIBLE.

EXPERIENCE THE WORLD OVER HAS SHOWN THAT WHEN GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC CONTROLS ARE APPLIED TO HEALTH, THEY PROVE IN TIME, TO BE DETRIMENTAL.

EVENTUALLY THERE IS EROSION OF QUALITY, PRODUCTIVITY, INNOVATION, AND CREATIVITY.

THEN THERE IS LACK OF RESPONSIVENESS TO PATIENTS, USUALLY BROUGHT ABOUT BY THE LOSS OF CONTROL OF THE SYSTEM BY PHYSICIANS AND THEIR REPLACEMENT BY NON-MEDICAL BUREAUCRATS.

AND FINALLY, RATIONING AND WAITING LINES RECUR.



THERE HAVE ALSO BEEN CHANGES, NOW RATHER SOLIDLY SET IN SOCIETY, IN REFERENCE TO THE FAMILY IN AMERICA. I TALK ABOUT FAMILIES BECAUSE HEALTH OF INDIVIDUALS IS INEXTRICABLY TIED UP WITH THE HEALTH OF FAMILIES; AND BECAUSE THE FAMILY IS THE BUILDING BLOCK OF THE SOCIETY, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IMPROVE.

TODAY, FAMILIES ARE SMALLER. THERE HAS BEEN AN INCREASE IN THE SINGLE PARENT FAMILY. MOTHERS ARE OUT OF THE HOME; 6 OF 10 MOTHERS WITH PRE-SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN ARE IN THE LABOR FORCE. TWO-THIRDS OF THEM ARE IN THIS SITUATION FOR ECONOMIC REASONS.

INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF FAMILIES ARE OLDER THAN THEY WERE A GENERATION AGO. ALCOHOL AND DRUGS ARE ABUSED BY MORE AND MORE FAMILY MEMBERS. TEENAGERS HAVE BECOME MOTHERS. THE VARIETY OF LIVING ARRANGEMENTS IS ALMOST INFINITE.

WHAT YOU AND L THINK OF WHEN WE HEAR THE WORD FAMILY, THE STEREOTYPE OF NOT SO LONG AGO, IS NOW MET BY ONLY 10% OF FAMILIES. BY THIS STEREOTYPE I MEAN THE FATHER IS AT WORK, THE MOTHER IS AT HOME, AND SHE SPENDS HER LIFE TAKING CARE OF 2.2 CHILDREN, HER HOW BAND THOU HER 140MG

BECAUSE FAMILIES ARE SMALLER, AND BECAUSE THERE ARE MORE ELDERLY PEOPLE DEPENDENT UPON FAMILIES, THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUCH CARE FALLS ON FEWER CHILDREN FOR LONGER PERIODS OF TIME.

IT IS MY FIRM BELIEF THAT ALL OF THE ABOVE CONTRIBUTES IN SOME WAY TO VIOLENCE.

RISK FACTORS IN ABUSIVE FAMILIES VARY CONSIDERABLY, AND I DO NOT MEAN TO SAY THAT A SAY FAMILIES HAVE ALL OF THESE CHARACTERISTICS OR EVEN MOST OF THEM.

NEVERTHELESS, THESE ABUSIVE FAMILIES TEND
TO BECOME ISOLATED, THE MEN ARE PRONE TO
ALCOHOLISM, THEY ARE ECONOMICALLY DEPRESSED,
FREQUENTLY ARE UNEMPLOYED, MEN DISCOURAGED
BECOME CONCERNED ABOUT POWER, THE
REAFFIRMATION OF LOST STATUS, AND FREQUENTLY
THEIR ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR AT HOME IS CARRIED INTO
SOCIETY AT LARGE.

TWO OTHER TRAGEDIES TOOK PLACE IN THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PUBLIC'S HEALTH BEFORE I CAME ON THE SCENE IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

ONE WAS TO CLOSE OUR MENTAL INSTITUTIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION THAT COMMUNITIES WOULD ABSORB THE INMATES IN HALFWAY HOUSES AND SHELTERED WORKSHOPS. THOSE INMATES HAVE NOT BEEN SHELTERED AND PROTECTED AND THEY FORM A LARGE SEGMENT OF THE HOMELESS IN AMERICA TODAY.

IT'S A FUNNY THING ABOUT AMERICANS IN THIS REGARD. THEY ARE GENEROUS TO A FAULT, BUT THEY DO NOT LIKE HOMES FOR THE RETARDED, AIDS HOSPICES, DRUG ABUSE TREATMENT CENTERS, OR SHELTERS FOR THE MENTALLY ILL IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS.

ANOTHER MISJUDGMENT WAS THE CLOSING OF THE GREAT CITY HOSPITALS IN THIS COUNTRY--SO DESPERATELY NEEDED NOW TO CARE FOR THE INDIGENT, THE HOMELESS, AND NOW THE BURGEONING NUMBER OF AIDS PATIENTS WHICH FREQUENTLY ALSO CAN FIT EITHER THE CATEGORY OF INDIGENT OR HOMELESS.

I SEE MY ROLE HERE TODAY AS AN ENUMERATOR OF SOCIAL ISSUES, WHICH I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO DO BUT CERTAINLY NOT EXHAUSTIVELY. I AM NOT HERE TO OFFER SOLUTIONS. NEVERTHELESS, I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT WHEN THE AMERICAN PEOPLE NEED TO RISE TO AN OCCASION THEY CAN DO SO.

THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM IN AMERICA TODAY IS A TERRIBLE MORAL BURDEN FOR SOCIETY TO BEAR IN THAT THE SYSTEM DOES NOT RESPOND AT ALL TO SOME 12 TO AS HIGH AS PERHAPS 15% OF OUR POPULATION.

AND IT'S A TERRIBLE ECONOMIC BURDEN FOR SOCIETY TO BEAR, IN THAT THE SYSTEM SATISFIES ITS OWN UNCONTROLLED NEEDS AT THE EXPENSE OF EVERY OTHER SECTOR OF AMERICAN SOCIETY. WE NEED TO CHANGE THAT SYSTEM. WE NEED TO CHANGE IT THOROUGHLY, AND WE NEED TO DO IT SOON.

BUT CAN WE DO IT? I'D LIKE TO THINK WE CAN ...
BECAUSE WE <u>HAYE</u> TO ... AND ESPECIALLY BECAUSE
WE'VE DONE IT BEFORE.

SOME 50 YEARS AGO, FOR EXAMPLE, WE AMERICANS KNEW THAT IT WAS MORALLY WRONG FOR OUR SOCIETY TO ALLOW ITS OLD PEOPLE TO DRIFT INTO POVERTY AND STARVATION. WE KNEW THAT WE COULD NO LONGER STAND BY HELPLESS IN THE FACE OF SUCH HUMAN MISERY. AND SO WE ENACTED A SOCIAL SECURITY LAW TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERY AMERICAN WOULD BE ASSURED OF A MEASURE OF HUMAN DIGNITY AND RESPECT IN HIS OR HER TWILIGHT YEARS. IT WAS AN ACT OF FUNDAMENTAL DECENCY. WE KNEW WE HAD TO DO IT. / AND WE DID IT.

WE MET A SIMILAR CHALLENGE MORE RECENTLY THAN THAT.

BACK IN THE 1950'S AND EARLY 1960'S, THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTRY BECAME PAINFULLY AWARE OF THE TERRIBLE UNFAIRNESS OF "SEPARATE BUT EQUAL" EDUCATION, AND SO, THROUGH OUR COURTS AND OUR LEGISLATURES, WE RELEASED AMERICA FROM THE CRUSHING OFFICIAL. LEGAL BURDEN OF SEGREGATED SCHOOLS.

THAT'S OVER. AND THANK GOODNESS IT IS.

DID WE GET RID OF THOSE BURDENS ONCE AND FOR ALL? WELL, NOT EXACTLY: *WE HAVEN'T YET SOLVED EVERY PROBLEM ASSOCIATED WITH "GROWING OLD IN AMERICA." WE KNOW THAT.

* AND WE HAVEN'T YET PRODUCED THE PERFECT, EGALITARIAN SCHOOL SYSTEM. WE KNOW THAT, TOO.

BUT AT LEAST WE'VE LIFTED FROM THE SHOULDERS OF OUR PEOPLE A LARGE MEASURE OF THE BURDEN OF SHAME AND GUILT THAT CAME WITH DOING NOTHING. WE DID WHAT WAS MORALLY RIGHT FOR THIS COUNTRY. AND I BELIEVE WE CAN -- AND MUST -- DO THAT AGAIN.

LET'S FINALLY SAY WHAT WE'VE HESITATED TO SAY FOR TOO MANY YEARS, AND THAT IS ... OUR CURRENT SYSTEM OF HEALTH CARE IS NOT FAIR ... IT'S NOT JUST ... AND, THEREFORE, IT IS NOT THE MORALLY STRONG SYSTEM THAT OUR SOCIETY NEEDS --AND DESERVES.

WE ARE AT A CROSSROADS. WE CANNOT AFFORD TO DO NOTHING, TO CONTINUE BUSINESS AS USUAL.

LET ME CLOSE WITH A FEW WORDS ABOUT THE CHURCH AND THE CHURCHES.

THERE WAS A TIME IN THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH, AT LEAST, WHEN THOSE CONCERNED WITH THE PROPAGATION OF THE GOSPEL WERE AFRAID THAT CONCERN FOR THE WELFARE OF ONE'S FELLOW MAN MIGHT BE CONSIDERED A SOCIAL GOSPEL AND NOT THE REAL THING AIMED AT THE SALVATION OF THE LOST.

I THINK IN RECENT YEARS, THINKING PEOPLE
HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SEPARATE THEIR CONCERN
ABOUT A SOCIAL GOSPEL AND HAVE BEEN ABLE TO
ESPOUSE SOCIAL ACTION AS PART OF THE EFFECT
THAT THAT GOSPEL HAS THE ON THE LIVES OF MEN
AND WOMEN.

SOME OF YOU REMEMBER THE CRITICISMS OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS IN THE EARLY YEARS OF THE FIRST REAGAN TERM. THE PRESIDENT HIMSELF TALKED ABOUT THE SAFETY NET. IT WASN'T QUITE CLEAR TO EVERYONE WHAT HE MEANT, OR WHAT THE DIMENSIONS WERE OF THAT NET, OR HOW STRONG IT MIGHT BE, AND ESPECIALLY HOW UNIVERSAL.

THOSE WERE DAYS WHEN I HAD A TITLE AND VERY LITTLE TO DO WHILE I WAS WAITING FOR CONFIRMATION, I GOT A CALL ONE DAY ASKING IF THE CHURCHES WERE PART OF THE SAFETY NET. WITHOUT EVEN THINKING, I SAID YES BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY I WAS RAISED.

MY CHURCH, MY FAMILY, CONSIDER THEMSELVES TO BE THE SAFETY NET TO THOSE LESS FORTUNATE--EITHER IN REFERENCE TO WORLDLY GOODS OR HEALTH STATUS, ALTHOUGH OF COURSE WE DIDN'T CALL IT THAT.

AND YET TODAY, MANY CHURCH PEOPLE ARE DICHOTOMOUS AS THEY SEPARATE THEIR FAITH FROM THEIR ACTION. THERE ARE TIMES WHEN FAITH CAN BEST BE EXPRESSED BY WILLING HANDS AND FEET TO ACCOMPLISH A TASK.

I RECALL A TIME WHEN OUR CHILDREN WERE SMALL WHEN WE HAD A VERY DEVOUT CHRISTIAN LADY WHO HELPED US OUT WITH HOUSEWORK AND COOKING. ON ONE NIGHT OF TERRIBLE CRISIS WITH CHILDREN SICK, EMERGENCY CALLS FOR ME FROM THE HOSPITAL, AND SO ON, A HOUSE GUEST WENT INTO LABOR AND DELIVERED, PRECIPITOUSLY, A PREMATURE BABY JUST DOWN THE HALL FROM WHERE OUR HOUSEKEEPER WAS SLEEPING.

SHE NEVER APPEARED ON THE SCENE. WHEN TEN HOURS OR SO LATER, THINGS SEEMED TO HAVE CALMED DOWN, MY WIFE ASKED HER WHERE SHE HAD BEEN AND WHY SHE HADN'T COME TO HELP. HER REPLY WAS HONEST, STRAIGHTFORWARD, AND SIMPLE.

SHE SAID, "I KNEW YOU WERE HAVING MANY PROBLEMS, SO I LAY IN BED AND PRAYED FOR YOU ALL."

IF PRHYER IS COMMUNICATION WITH COD, I'M SURE SHE WASN'T LISTENING.

THE DIVINE MESSAGE MUST ITAN & BEEN CLEAR: "G 27 OF A NO ITELP!"

AT THE TIME,

I WAS REMINDED OF THE STORY MY
GRANDFATHER TOLD ME ABOUT ATTENDING A
MINISTERIAL CONVENTION.

GROUPS OF MINISTERS AREN'T VERY POPULAR IN HOTELS BECAUSE ACTIVITY IN THE BAR DROPS OFF AND SO DOES THE GENEROSITY OF TIPS, AS A RULE.

ON THE OCCASION MY GRANDFATHER RECOUNTED,
THE MODERATOR OF ONE OF THE MEETINGS IN COVERING
SOME HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS BEFORE

THE MEETING BEGAN, DELIVERED THIS MILD ADMONITION AS HE CLOSED: "AND BY THE WAY, FEWER 'GOD BLESS YOU'S' AND MORE TIPS WOULD BE APPRECIATED BY THE HOTEL STAFF."

COD, IM SURE SHE WASN'T LISTENINE.

THE DIVINE MESSAGE, I'M SURE, WAS

CLEAR. "BET UP AND HELP!"

FAMIL IN ACTION!

I'M NOT GOING TO TELL THIS GROUP ABOUT YOUR OWN DISTINCTIVENESS. I DON'T THINK I HAVE TO REMIND YOU THAT YOUR GIFTS ARE OF A SPIRITUAL DIMENSION AND THEREFORE UNIQUE IN THEIR ABILITY TO CONTRIBUTE TO IMPROVING THE HEALTH OF INDIVIDUALS WHO ENDEED MAKE UP OUR NATION.

I DON'T THINK I HAVE TO TELL YOU THAT CHURCH
MEMBERS SHOULD BE POLITICALLY ACTIVE,
UNDERSTAND ISSUES, VOTE PROPERLY, AND MAKE
THEIR REPRESENTATIVES UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY
BELIEVE AND WHAT THEY WANT TO SEE ACCOMPANIED

I CERTAINLY SHOULDN'T HAVE TO REMIND YOU THAT YOU BELIEVE IN WHOLENESS, IN FAIRNESS, AND IN JUSTICE AND THAT IF WE WERE TO EXERCISE ALL OF THOSE VIRTUES, WE WOULDN'T BE HERE TALKING ABOUT THIS SUBJECT TODAY.

I WILL, HOWEVER, DWELL ON SEVERAL THINGS
THAT SEEM TO ME TO BE OBVIOUS GAPS IN THE
OUTREACH OF THE CHURCH--AND I SPEAK OF COURSE
ABOUT THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH BECAUSE THAT'S THE
ONLY ONE THE I HAVE HAD ANY EXPERIENCE.

ABOUT THREE ETHICAL ISSUES THAT ARE VERY MUCH
A PART OF THE SOCIAL RELIEF SYSTEM AND THE
POLITICAL ACTIVITY OF CHURCHES--ABORTION,
THE CARE AND FEEDING, IF I MIGHT SAY SO, OF
HANDICAPPED CHILDREN AS EXEMPLIFIED BY BABY
DOE, AND THE PLIGHT OF THE ELDERLY THAT LEADS
ALL TOO OFTEN TO A DISCUSSION OF EUTHANASIA. (5 O METING
THINK ABELT THAT THAT TERM SHOULD BE DROPPED FROM
OUR VOCABULARY BECAUSE SO FEW PEOPLE
UNDERSTAND WHAT IT MEANS, OR MORE LIKELY
CONFUSE IT WITH ENTIRELY ETHICAL BEHAVIOR ON
THE PART OF REASONABLE PHYSICIANS.

RESONATIBLE

I AM OPPOSED TO ABORTION BUT HAVE ALWAYS ADMONISHED ANY CHURCH AUDIENCE I SPOKE TO THAT IT'S NOT ENOUGH TO BE OPPOSED TO ABORTION UNLESS YOU ARE WILLING TO EXTEND UNDERSTANDING, SHELTER, NURTURE, AND ECONOMIC AID TO A WOMAN WHO IS CARRYING AN UNWANTED PREGNANCY AND SEEKING A WAY OUT.

IT IS NOT ENOUGH TO BE OPPOSED TO THE WITHHOLDING OF FLUIDS AND NUTRITION OF HANDICAPPED NEWBORNS UNLESS THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE CHURCH ARE EQUALLY WILLING TO STAND BY THE PARENTS OF THE HANDICAPPED CHILD.

MANY PARENTS SIMPLY LACK THE RESOURCES-BE THEY MATERIAL, SOCIAL, OR ECONOMIC--OR EMOTIONAL, MORAL, OR PSYCHOLOGICAL--TO CARE FOR A DISABLED CHILD, EVEN IN THEIR OWN FAMILY.

ALSO, MANY LAY ADVOCATES JUST DON'T HAVE MUCH TO SAY ABOUT THE CHURCH'S ROLE OR THE COMMUNITY'S ROLE IN HELPING PARENTS TAKE HOME THEIR OWN BABY DOE.

HAVING SPENT MY LIFE WITH BABY DOES, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT A GREAT MANY LOVING, CARING PARENTS HAVE BEEN ENGULFED IN CONFUSION AND DESPAIR. BUT I CAN ALSO ASSURE YOU THAT TEXT IT CAN BE ALLEVIATED BY THE TIMELY ASSISTANCE OF A WHOLE RANGE OF PRIVATE COMMUNITY RESOURCES THAT ARE FOUND IN THE CHURCHES.

THERE IS NO REASON WHY THE BURDEN OF GETTING A HANDICAPPED CHILD TO A CLINIC A FOR REHABILITATION VISIT CANNOT BE AIDED BY A CHURCH THAT WOULD FIRST POSSIBLE TO CARE FOR THE OTHER CHILDREN IN THE FAMILY DURING THE PARENTS' ABSENCE.

OR, HOW MANY CHURCHES WORRY ABOUT THE RESPITE DESPERATELY NEEDED BY PARENTS WHO FACE THE PROBLEMS OF LIVING WITH A DEMANDICAPPED CHILD 24 HOURS A DAY.

AND SPEAKING OF RESPITE, HOW ABOUT PROVIDING THE SAME FOR THE FAMILY OR THE INDIVIDUAL ALMOST OVERWHELMED WITH THE TOTAL BURDEN OF AN INCAPACITATED ELDERLY INDIVIDUAL.

WHEN I WAS PREPARING TO WRITE THE BOOK, WHATEVER HAPPENED TO THE HUMAN RACE WITH FRANCIS SCHAEFFER, I LEARNED A LOT ABOUT THE ATTITUDES OF PEOPLE IN NURSING HOMES.

THEIR GREATEST FEAR WAS ABANDONMENT, AND INDEED, ABANDONMENT BY FAMILY AND FRIENDS HAS BEEN SHOWN TO ACTUALLY INFLUENCE THE TYPE OF CARE, AND THEREFORE, THE MORTALITY OF THESE INDIVIDUALS IN NURSING HOMES.

FILL THIS VOID!

I COULDN'T CLOSE THESE REMARKS WITHOUT SAYING A WORD ABOUT AIDS.

THERE ARE TWO PROBLEMS THAT ARE NOT SPECIFICALLY SCIENTIFIC, ALTHOUGH THEY DO IMPINGE UPON CARE.

UNTIL THIS POINT, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO DEAL WITH AIDS WITHIN THE FAMILIAR PUBLIC HEALTH MODEL OF COMPASSION AND REDEMPTION: THE AMERICAN PEOPLE FEEL BAD WHEN ANY OF THEIR NUMBER GET SICK AND THEY TRULY WANT TO HELP.

THEY ALSO FEEL THEY ARE SUFFICIENTLY REWARDED BY THE RETURN OF THE ILL OR DISABLED PERSON TO HIS OR HER FAMILY, COMMUNITY, SCHOOL OR WORKPLACE. THAT'S REDEMPTION.

AIDS DRAWS UPON THE GREAT RESERVOIR OF COMPASSION IN THIS COUNTRY. EXCEPT FOR THE FINAL WEEKS OF A TERMINAL, AIDS-RELATED ILLNESS, A PERSON WITH AIDS MAY CONTINUE VIRTUALLY ANY NORMAL, ROUTINE WAY OF LIFE -- INCLUDING WORK, EDUCATION, AND SO ON -- WITHOUT FURTHER ENDANGERING HIMSELF OR HERSELF OR ANYONE ELSE, FOR THAT MATTER.

BUT REDEMPTION IS FAR FROM ASSURED. THE ESSENTIALLY BETTER MORTALITY RATE FOR AIDS IS STATISTICALLY BETTER THAN 90 PERCENT ... WHICH, FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES, IS TO SAY IT'S 100 PERCENT. HENCE, AIDS IS NOT LIKE HYPERTENSION, SYPHILIS, T.B., OR THE FLU. WE CAN BEAT THOSE DISEASES. BUT AIDS IS STILL BEATING US.

ALSO, AFTER HEARING AND SEEING SEVERAL YEARS'
WORTH OF INTENSIVE PUBLIC EDUCATION ON THE SUBJECT,
THE AMERICAN PEOPLE MAY NOT BE INCLINED TO BE
FORGIVING AND COMPASSIONATE WITH SOMEONE WHO -- TO DAY:
TODAY -- ENGAGES IN CASUAL, UNPROTECTED ANAL
INTERCOURSE OR WHO SHOOTS ILLEGAL DRUGS WITH A
USED, AIDS-INFECTED NEEDLE AND CATCHES AIDS.

I HAVE BEEN PREACHING AND TEACHING THAT WE MUST FIGHT THE DISEASE OF AIDS ... NOT THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE IT.

AND I STILL BELIEVE THAT HAS TO BE OUR ATTITUDE.

BUT I'M ALSO A REALIST AND I DON'T SEE THE
AMERICAN PEOPLE BEING ABLE TO KEEP THAT
DISTINCTION CLEAR IN THEIR MINDS FOR MUCH LONGER.

THE COST TO THE AMERICAN TREASURY IS ALREADY BEGINNING TO MOUNT WELL BEYOND ANYTHING WE COULD HAVE IMAGINED 2 OR 3 YEARS AGO.

THE AVERAGE ANNUAL COST FOR THE CARE OF A SINGLE PERSON WITH AIDS RANGES FROM \$40,000 TO OVER \$100,000, DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU INCLUDE IN THE COMPUTATION AND WHETHER YOU ARE ON THE WEST COAST OR THE EAST COAST. IF YOU TAKE THE MEAN COST, MULTIPLY IT BY THE CURRENT ANNUAL CASELOAD OF 33,000 PATIENTS, YOU COME UP WITH A THEORETICAL COST OF PATIENT CARE THIS YEAR THAT COULD EXCEED \$2 BILLION.

AND THIS IS TERMINAL CARE FOR SOME INDIVIDUALS WHO, DESPITE PUBLIC ADVICE NOTICE TO THE CONTRARY, CHOSE TO DO SOMETHING RISKY. THEY GAMBLED ... AND LOST.

SO THE COST OF COMPASSION WITHOUT REDEMPTION
IS ALREADY VERY HIGH -- AND IT CAN ONLY GET HIGHER
UNTIL WE FIND A VACCINE. BUT,

WE ARE STILL SEVERAL YEARS -- MAYBE
A DECADE -- AWAY FROM THE DEVELOPMENT AND
RELEASE OF AN AIDS VACCINE.

I BELIEVE IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL THAT WE LOOK AT THIS PROBLEM WITH CLEAR EYES ... AND THEN, WITH THE SAME CLEAR EYES, PUT ASIDE OUR NATURAL INSTINCTS TO BE VINDICTIVE OR HOLIER-THAN-THOU OR TO SAY "I TOLD YOU SO" AND, INSTEAD, PURSUE THE TRADITIONAL, NON-JUDGMENTAL AMERICAN COURSE OF PUBLIC HEALTH CARE.

I BELIEVE THAT MAY TURN OUT TO BE THE AIDS

MESSAGE OF THE 90'S. AND I DON'T ENVY ANYONE WHO

HAS TO DELIVER IT.

THIS BURDEN UNFORTUNATELY, WILL FALL MOST
HEAVILY UPON THE LEADERSHIP OF THE BLACK
COMMUNITY, WHERE THE SHARPEST AND MOST ALARMING
INCREASES IN AIDS CASES ARE BEING REPORTED, DIRECT
RESULTS -- I SHOULD ADD -- OF THE DRUG EPIDEMIC IN
THAT SAME COMMUNITY. I CAN ONLY HOPE AND PRAY
THAT AMERICAN BLACKS WILL HAVE LEARNED FROM THE
EARLY EXPERIENCE OF THE HOMOSEXUAL COMMUNITY AND
NOT POLITICIZE THE ISSUE. IN PUBLIC HEALTH MATTERS,
POLITICAL POSTURING COSTS LIVES ... IT DOES NOT SAVE
THEM.

THE POLITICAL CAMPAIGN TO KEEP OPEN THE BATH-HOUSES OF SAN FRANCISCO WAS STUPID AND DANGEROUS WAS DANGEROUS. AND EQUALLY SPUPID AND DANGEROUS WAS THE ASSERTION BY AN ASSISTANT TO THE MAYOR OF CHICAGO THAT THE BLACK COMMUNITY WAS THREATENED BY AIDS BECAUSE JEWISH DOCTORS WERE INJECTING THE VIRUS INTO BLACK CHILDREN.

THE GOOD SENSE AND GOOD HEART OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE -- OF ALL THE AMERICAN PEOPLE -- MUST BE APPEALED TO AND MOBILIZED FOR THE REMAINING YEARS THAT THIS AGGRESSIVE AND VICIOUS DISEASE SURVIVES AMONG US.